

## TECHNICAL DEEP DIVE

# Multi-Cloud Kubernetes Architecture

Architecture your platform team needs to validate. How emma runs one Kubernetes cluster across AWS, GCP, and Azure – and why it works.



• KUBERNETES 1.35.1

• CILIUM CNI / eBPF

• EU CONTROL PLANE

• TERRAFORM PROVIDER

## ARCHITECTURE COMPONENTS

## Six things your engineers will ask about first

### Kubernetes

01

Upstream 1.35.1. No fork. Standard API server, scheduler, etcd. Your existing manifests, Helm charts, and operators work unchanged.

```
kubectl get nodes → nodes across AWS, GCP, Azure
```

### Networking

02

Cilium CNI (eBPF) on top of emma's multi-cloud fabric (BGP/VXLAN, Cisco Catalyst 8000v). One network policy layer across all clouds. No VPN stitching.

```
kubectl get cnp → same policies, all clouds
```

### Storage

03

Unified emma CSI driver across all clouds. Abstracts EBS, PD, Managed Disks behind one interface. Data stays in-region. No cross-cloud replication unless configured.

```
kubectl get sc → emma-storage (works across AWS, GCP, Azure)
```

### Control Plane

04

Hosted in Luxembourg (EU). emma manages etcd, API server, scheduler, monitoring. Full kubeconfig with RBAC. Control plane outage != workload outage.

```
EU jurisdiction • no US hyperscaler in the path
```

### IaC / Terraform

05

Official Terraform provider on registry. Cluster and node groups as HCL. CI/CD friendly. emma operates what Terraform creates.

```
resource "emma_kubernetes" "prod" { ... }
```

### Node Pools

06

Per-provider node pools in the same cluster. Isolated failure domains. Move workloads between providers with node affinity, not re-architecture.

```
nodeSelector: topology.emma.ms/cloud: aws
```

## INFRASTRUCTURE AS CODE

## One cluster. Three clouds. Standard Terraform.

```
# One cluster. Three clouds. Standard Terraform.
resource "emma_kubernetes" "production" {
  name = "prod-multi-cloud"
  worker_nodes = [
    { name = "aws-pool", data_center = "eu-west-1", vcpu = 4, ram_gb = 16 },
    { name = "gcp-pool", data_center = "europe-west1", vcpu = 4, ram_gb = 16 },
    { name = "azure-pool", data_center = "westeurope", vcpu = 4, ram_gb = 16 },
  ]
}

# terraform apply → 1 cluster, 3 clouds, 3 node pools
# Networking handled by emma. No VPN, no peering.
```

## HARD QUESTIONS YOUR ENGINEERS WILL ASK

## Honest answers, no marketing.

**Latency?**

01

Follows cloud-region topology. No forced traffic routing through a central control plane. Cross-cloud latency = typical inter-region latency.

**Networking details?**

02

Cilium on eBPF. BGP/VXLAN, Cisco Catalyst 8000v. Direct inter-cloud connectivity. No VPN stitching, no provider peering dependencies.

**Blast radius?**

03

Node pools isolated per cloud provider. Failure in AWS doesn't cascade to GCP or Azure. Control plane outage doesn't affect running workloads.

**Debugging?**

04

Standard kubectl exec, logs, port-forward. Works identically regardless of which cloud the pod runs on. Prometheus, Grafana, PagerDuty — same endpoints.

### K8s version?

05

1.35.1. Upstream. No fork. If it works on EKS/GKE/AKS, it works on emma.

### Migration?

06

Namespace-level. kubectl apply your manifests. Keep old clusters live while validating. Typical PoC: 2-4 weeks.

### When NOT a fit?

07

Regulations requiring separate control planes per environment, or sub-5ms inter-node latency requirements. We'll tell you honestly on the first call.

#### READY TO VALIDATE?

## Book a 30-min architecture review with our engineers.

We'll review your current setup and show exactly where emma fits – or tell you honestly if it doesn't.

[k8s.emma.ms](https://k8s.emma.ms) →

